

**MIDWEST PHARMACY RESIDENTS CONFERENCE
Resident/Fellow Presentation Evaluation Form**

Speaker Name (print): _____

Session Number: _____

Person completing form (circle one):

Judge

Moderator

Speaker Evaluation	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Comments
Speaker maintained appropriate eye contact throughout the presentation.					
Speaker avoided distracting mannerisms (e.g. notes/slide reliance, filler words, etc.)					
Speaker spoke in a strong voice, at an appropriate pace, throughout the presentation.					
Speaker met/addressed each objective.					
Speaker adequately and professionally answered questions and provided clarification when necessary.					
It was evident that the speaker had direct and substantial involvement in the project/study.					
Overall quality of the speaker met my expectations.					
Overall Presentation Quality	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Comments
Presentation was organized and presented in a logical fashion.					
Slides were visually appealing, easy to read, and contained no spelling errors.					
Knowledge gained was applicable to my daily practice, may stimulate change at my institution, or made me consider a similar/related project.					
Presentation met my educational needs or expectations.					
Overall, I gained new information and knowledge on the subject presented.					
The learning assessment was appropriately aligned with presentation objectives.					
The presentation subject and degree of detail were appropriate for the audience.					
Presentation Content	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Comments
The presentation topic was current and relevant to the practice of pharmacy.					
Specific and measurable learning objectives were stated.					
The purpose of the study/project was clearly stated.					
Study/project methods were clearly stated and appropriately applied to the given topic.					
Results (or preliminary results) were provided and discussed in sufficient detail.					
Stated conclusions were appropriate given the design, results, and current practice standards.					
Presentation was unbiased & provided a fair balance of information (use of generic/chemical names, no promotion of product/company)					

Was program completed in the appropriate time frame (Circle one): YES

NO